COPY

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civil #: 6:08-CV-444

SUNY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; DRS. LISA BERNARDINO; STEPHEN HAVLOVIC; WILLIAM LANGDON; PETER SPINA, PERSONALLY AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY,

Defendants. *******************************

Transcript of the Examination Before Trial of LISA BERARDINO, a Witness called for the purpose of discovery, taken on June 9, 2010, at SUNY IT, Utica, New York, taken before Angela S. Stangel, Court Reporter and Notary Public, pursuant to Notice.

ANGELA S. STANGEL
Court Reporter
10455 Webster Hill Road
Boonville, New York 13309
(315) 827-4010

1		APPEARANCES
2		
3		
4	For	Plaintiff:
5	FOL	SATTER & ANDREWS, LLP
6	BY:	ROSS P. ANDREWS, ESQ. 217 South Salina Street
7		Sixth Floor Syracuse, New York 13202
8		Syracuse, New York 10202
9		
10	٠	
11	For	Defendants:
12	101	STATE OF NEW YORK
13	BY:	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DOUGLAS J. GOGLIA, ESQ.
14		The Capitol Albany, New York 12224-0341
15		
16	ALSO Pres	O PRESENT: ANTHONY F. PANEBIANCO, Associate Vice sident, SUNY IT
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2.5		

1	STIPULATIONS
2	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED
4	that the transcript may be signed before any
5	Notary Public with the same force and effect as
6	if signed before a clerk or Judge of the Court;
7	and it is
8	i
9	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
10	this deposition may be utilized for all purposes
11	as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil
12	Procedure; and it is
13	
14	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
15	all rights provided to all parties by the Federal
16	Rules of Civil Procedure shall not be deemed
17	waived and the appropriate sections of the
18	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall be
19	controlling with respect thereto.
20	
21	
22	*****************
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX OF WITNESS	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	LISA BERARDINO	
5	By Mr. Andrews	5
6		
7	EXHIBIT	PAGE
8 9 10 11 12 13	49 - HANDWRITTEN NOTES, BATES 572-573 50 - HANDWRITTEN NOTES, BATES 559-561 51 - RECOMMENDED TIME TABLE, BATES 555 52 - MEMO 11/15/06 53 - MEMO 10/31/06, BATES 227 54 - HANDWRITTEN NOTES 55 - E-MAIL 56 - COMMENTS, BATES 351 57 - LETTER ON JARREL, BATES 503 58 - BATES 504-505 59 - MEMO 3/31/08, BATES 217 60 - SLN FORM, BATES 322 61 - MEMO 11/14/06, BATES 00159	42 42 42 91 99 99 105 119 119 126 130 156
15	REQUEST	PAGE
16	By Mr. Ross:	
17	1 - FALL '05 ACCTG 685-35 ON-LINE RATINGS	109
18	2 - E-MAIL FROM DEAN TO BERARDINO	163
19	3 - CONTENTS OF DEAN'S FOLDER & PAGE NUMBERS	189
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	·	
25		

- faculty in putting together a binder, it was to
- 2 refer them to resource materials; is that a fair
- 3 statement?
- 4 A. That is fair.
- 5 Q. So you didn't have any further
- 6 participation in putting together another faculty
- 7 member's binder?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. So you were referring to the criteria?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Which guides the faculty member in
- 12 putting together their own binder?
- 13 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- 14 Q. So what does the faculty member do once
- 15 they have assembled the binder?
- 16 A. Okay, according to the timeline they
- 17 deliver it to the School of Business where the
- 18 binder is available for all of the tenured
- 19 faculty to review the binder. At this time we
- 20 had a three person Peer Review Committee that
- 21 reviewed the binder material in addition to other
- 22 available material. And the Peer Review of
- three, we make a recommendation and then we go in
- 24 to the all tenured and make the decision in the
- 25 all tenured faculty meeting. From there the

- when Robert and I come in the members have seen
- 2 that memo and then we present it and take any
- 3 questions.
- Q. I think you said you also presented a
- 5 summary, no?
- 6 A. What I meant was that we are presenting
- 7 the decision of the School of Business. I meant
- 8 to say that we are presenting the decision of the
- 9 School of Business.
- 10 Q. And then questions were asked of you and
- 11 Doctor Yeh; is that correct?
- 12 A. At the first meeting there were not
- 13 questions.
- 14 Q. The first meeting of the College Wide
- 15 Personnel Committee?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. That was just for presentation?
- 18 A. Let's see, the Committee said that they
- 19 would not consider our candidates in the form,
- 20 that the memos were too brief.
- 21 Q. So did you go back and prepare a more
- 22 detailed memo?
- 23 A. So we were given a week to go prepare a
- 24 more detailed memo.
- 25 Q. At that first College Wide Personnel

- 1 Committee meeting that you have been discussing
- 2 did you also present regarding Doctor Gaffney?
- 3 A. Let's see, all three memos were told to
- 4 be revised.
- 5 Q. You obviously anticipated my ultimate
- 6 question. Before that time had you presented
- 7 memos to the College Wide Personnel Committee?
- 8 A. No.
- g Q. So that was your first occasion to do
- 10 so?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So did you, in fact, prepare a more
- 13 detailed memo?
- 14 A. Okay --
- 15 Q. I am sorry?
- 16 A. I am just pausing. I followed up on the
- instructions of College Wide by E-mailing
- 18 requests for more information to the Dean and the
- 19 two coordinators, the coordinator of
- 20 undergraduate programs, Bob Orillio, and
- 21 coordinator of finance and accounting, Will
- 22 Langdon.
- 23 Q. Is that what the College Wide Personnel
- 24 Committee had directed you to do?
- 25 A. That was my understanding.

- 1 Q. Did the College Wide Personnel Committee
- 2 say to contact those people for additional
- 3 information?
- A. I am going to say no.
- 5 Q. Now, there was a considerable amount of
- 6 information in the binder assembled by
- 7 Doctor Zhou; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was that information used in whole or in
- 10 part in preparing the more detailed memo?
- 11 A. I am going to say yes, because the IDEA
- evaluations are in there and the IDEA evaluations
- are used. So yes, some of the information from
- 14 the binder is used in the revised letter.
- 15 Q. It's Doctor Langdon; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, Doctor Langdon.
- 17 Q. Does Doctor Langdon have a separate role
- in this process other than what you asked him to
- 19 do?
- 20 A. No separate role other than what I asked
- 21 him to do.
- Q. He was a part of the all tenured faculty
- 23 meeting?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And also Doctor Orillio?

LISA BERARDINO BY MR

	LISA BERARDINO BI MR. ANDREWS
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Why did you contact Doctor Langdon for
3	additional information?
4	A. Okay. The College Wide has asked for a
5	revised memo that has more narrative information
6	and I contacted the Dean, Bob Orillio, and Will
7	Langdon requesting for more information,
8	especially about the nature of the performance in
9	teaching.
10	Q. When you say the Dean, can you more
11	fully identify who you are referring to?
12	A. Steve Havlovic.
13	Q. Orillio's title again, Doctor Orillio's
14	title again is what with regard to this process?
15	A. He is the coordinator of undergraduate
16	business degree programs. He is the adviser to
17	undergraduates.
18	Q. And more fully Doctor Langdon's title
19	was?
20	A. He is the coordinator for finance and
21	accounting.
22	Q. Did you contact Dean Havlovic with
23	regard to Ms. Gaffney's application?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Did you contact Dean Havlovic with

- 1 regard to Doctor Jarrel's application?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did you contact either Doctor Langdon or
- 4 Doctor Orillio with regard to Doctor Gaffney or
- 5 Doctor Jarrel's applications?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So just Doctor Zhou?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. So was there another meeting of the
- 10 College Wide Personnel Committee a week later?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And a more detailed memo had been
- 13 forwarded to Doctor Pittarelli?
- 14 A. Yes, Mike Pittarelli.
- 15 Q. And the three business department
- 16 reviews were considered at that meeting; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Were more detailed memos provided with
- 20 regard to Doctors Gaffney and Jarrel?
- 21 A. Yes, yes.
- 22 (Whereupon, Plaintiff
- 23 Attorney/Client discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 25

1	RY	MR.	ANDREWS:
	81	PLIN.	AMDIND NO .

- Q. Was anything else presented to the
- 3 College Wide Personnel Committee other than the
- 4 more detailed memos?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What additional information was
- 7 presented?
- 8 A. At the opening when we began to consider
- 2 Zhou, at the beginning of that Robert Yeh told
- 10 the group that the information from Will Langdon
- 11 was a personal conflict between Will Langdon and
- 12 Zhou.
- 13 Q. Was any other information provided to
- 14 the College Wide Personnel Committee?
- 15 A. They asked me had there been a peer
- 16 review of his teaching and I said, yes, that I
- 17 had sat in on his teaching and that I saw the
- 18 teaching as average.
- 19 Q. Was any other information presented to
- 20 the College Wide Personnel Committee regarding
- 21 Doctor Zhou?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. There was no direct communication from
- 24 Doctor Langdon presented to the College Wide
- 25 Personnel Committee?

- 1 A. There is a memo that I sent along with
- 2 the letter and that is what Robert Yeh was
- 3 referring to.
- 4 . O. Did Doctor Yeh further characterize what
- 5 the conflict was between --
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. -- Doctor Zhou and Doctor Langdon?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Were there any questions as to the
- 10 nature of that conflict?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Eventually there was a vote of the
- 13 College Wide Personnel Committee with regard to
- 14 Doctor Zhou's application; is that correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. What was that vote?
- 17 A. The College Wide Committee did not
- support the School of Business vote of no.
- 19 Q. In other words, the College Wide
- 20 Personnel Committee did support Doctor Zhou's
- 21 application for renewal?
- 22 A. I am not going to phrase it that way.
- 23 My understanding is that the College Wide is
- voting not to support our vote of no.
- 25 MR. GOGLIA: I am sorry, I am a

LISA	BERARDINO	BY	MR.	ANDREWS

- little confused.
- 2 MR. ANDREWS: Me too, but I will
- get there.
- 4 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 5 Q. Again, there was a vote with regard to
- 6 Doctor Zhou's --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- application for renewal?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it fair to say that the vote, the
- 11 choices in the vote were not renewing and
- 12 renewing?
- 13 A. We are speaking at College Wide?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. My understanding is that the vote is is
- 16 the College Wide supporting the School of
- 17 Business decision, are they supporting our
- 18 decision not to renew.
- 19 Q. So you don't understand the College Wide
- 20 Personnel Committee as having expressed
- 21 collectively an opinion that Doctor Zhou should
- 22 be renewed?
- 23 A. I am agreeing with you, yes. I
- 24 understood the College Wide vote that they did
- 25 not -- they felt like they did not have enough

- 1 understanding and information to support the
- 2 college vote of no renewal.
- 3 Q. With regard to Doctor Gaffney was there
- 4 a vote of the College Wide Committee?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And what was the result of that vote?
- 7 A. Okay. So they voted to support the
- 8 school's decision of offering two year renewal.
- 9 Q. And the same is true of Doctor Jarrel?
- 10 A. They support our vote of two year
- 11 renewal.
- 12 Q. You said a minute ago that you thought
- the College Wide committee decided they didn't
- 14 have enough information to support the School of
- Business' decision not to renew Doctor Zhou; is
- 16 that correct?
- 17 A. I did say that. I should amend. I
- don't know why the school -- I don't know why the
- 19 College Wide voted as they did. So all I can say
- 20 is that they voted no support of our decision.
- 21 Q. I think you said this was your first
- 22 experience with the College Wide Committee?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Have you had subsequent experience with
- 25 the College Wide Committee?

- 1 A. I come in as advocate now.
- Q. Are you aware of any other instance
- 3 where the College Wide Committee decided not to
- 4 support the determination of a departmental
- 5 decision?
- A. I am not aware of another time that the
- 7 College Wide did not support a school decision.
- 8 Q. You have never heard of that happening
- 9 other than with Doctor Zhou?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. So as I understand the process, after
- 12 the College Wide Personnel Committee's
- consideration, a letter is forwarded to the Vice
- 14 President for academic affairs, is that what it
- 15 is?
- 16 A. That is my understanding is that Mike
- 17 Pittarelli -- you are asking about the College
- 18 Wide?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. That Mike Pittarelli writes a letter and
- 21 it goes to the VP. That is my understanding.
- 22 Q. Was that letter circulated to members of
- 23 the College Wide Personnel Committee?
- 24 A. No, no.
- 25 (Whereupon, a brief recess was

- 1 Q. Did you take part in that vote?
- 2 A. My recollection is that, yes.
- 3 Q. You were the one no vote?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Can you read the word that is below the
- 6 recording of the vote there?
- 7 A. It looks like it says warning.
- 8 Q. Do you have any idea what that refers
- 9 to?
- 10 A. No recollection.
- 11 Q. Below that it says don't want to throw
- away someone who can develop?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Was that a statement made during the
- 15 College Wide meeting, College Wide Committee
- 16 meeting?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall who made that statement?
- 19 A. No, I do not.
- 20 Q. Do you recall if that was the sentiment
- of those who voted yes?
- 22 A. At least one person said that. One
- 23 person said that.
- Q. Below that does it say stronger letter?
- 25 A. It does say stronger letter.

- 1 Q. Do you know what that refers to?
- 2 A. I believe that this was a criticism of
- 3 the memo that I had prepared, that it needed to
- 4 be a stronger letter.
- 5 Q. When you say the memo that you prepared,
- 6 what are you referring to?
- 7 A. The one that went to Mike Pittarelli and
- 8 sent to College Wide.
- 9 Q. Would this be the first one or second
- 10 one?
- 11 A. The second one.
- 12 Q. So they still felt that was not what it
- 13 should be?
- 14 A. That is my understanding.
- 15 Q. Who made that statement?
- 16 A. Someone in the College Wide Committee.
- 17 Q. So, again, you think that is a statement
- 18 by one person?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. What does it say below that?
- 21 A. File warrants one year, AG service.
- 22 Q. Then looking below that slightly to the
- 23 right it appears to say Robert?
- 24 A. Yes, Robert.
- 25 Q. Does it refer to Doctor Yeh?

- 1 O. Going down to where it says D,
- 2 university/community service, do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. There are some handwritten notations
- 5 there; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. After where it says Jason Zhou serves on
- 8 the Budget and Planning Committee?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you tell me what it says below
- 11 there?
- 12 A. Bing, Bong, B-I-N-G, B-O-N-G,
- 13 International Student Association.
- 14 O. There is a comma between the two of
- 15 those; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do those refer to activities that
- 18 Doctor Zhou took part in?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. Can you tell me why that was not listed
- 21 on the memorandum itself?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Those are examples of
- 24 university/community service correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

LISA BERARDINO BY MR. ANDREWS

1	have fam	niliarity with, correct?
2	Α.	Correct.
3	Q.	This related in particular to the 2006
4	review o	of personnel actions, correct?
5	Α.	Correct.
6	Q.	But this is similar to the calendar that
7	is estab	olished for other years also, correct?
8	Α.	Correct.
9	Q.	So it would be similar to the calendar
10	utilized	when you, yourself, were reviewed in the
11	past?	
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	Is there somewhere on there that it
14	talks ab	out members of the Peer Review Committee
15	soliciti	ng the opinion of the Dean?
16	Α.	No.
17		MR. GOGLIA: The document speaks
18		for itself. Thank you.
19		MR. ANDREWS: I want her
20		interpretation of it and I am entitled
21		to her interpretation of it.
22		MR. GOGLIA: You can answer the
23		question.
2.4	7	No

,		DECEMBER 2000 CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
	1	A. Correct.
	2	Q. Was there a prior conversation when you
	3	decided he should send the memo?
	4	A. I sent an E-mail. I sent an E-mail.
	5	Q. To Doctor Langdon?
	6	A. To Doctor Langdon, Steve Havlovic, and
	7	Bob Orillio requesting that the Academic
	8	Committee had requested more information.
	9	Q. When you say the Academic Committee?
	10	A. The College Wide, the College Wide.
	11	Q. Right. Now you sent that memo to those
	12	individuals?
)	13	A. Yes, I did.
	14	Q. Was that on behalf of your fellow Peer
	15	Review Committee members?
	16	A. I am acting on behalf of the Peer
	17	Review.
	18	Q. Did Doctor Yeh say that those people
	19	should be solicited to provide information?
	20	A. No.
	21	Q. Did Mr. Petronio?
	22	A. No.
	23	Q. So that was a decision that you made?
	2.4	A. I am responding to what the College Wide
;	25	Committee said that we needed to do.

- 1 Q. But they didn't ask you to contact those
- 2 people?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. They asked you to get more information?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And there was more information that you
- 7 had not provided that was in Doctor Zhou's
- 8 portfolio?
- 9 MR. GOGLIA: Objection. I am just
- not sure what you mean by portfolio.
- 11 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 12 Q. Well, there was a file with Mr. Zhou's
- own material that he submitted, correct?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 O. There was information in that file that
- 16 had not been included in what you had provided to
- 17 the College Wide Committee, correct?
- 18 A. Are you saying that the binders are like
- 19 that?
- 20 Q. Correct.
- 21 A. And I am turning in a summary page?
- 22 Q. Correct.
- 23 A. So yes, there is going to be
- 24 information.
- 25 Q. By it's very nature it's a summary?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. But what they were asking you for was a
- 3 more detailed summary?
- 4 A. They were asking for more explanation
- 5 and understanding of the student complaints and
- 6 the performance, the teaching performance
- 7 problems. For that information I felt like I
- 8 needed to go to the coordinators because the
- 9 coordinators are getting the student complaints.
- 10 Q. I would like to show you again a
- 11 document marked as Exhibit 49?
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. I think you said that was your recording
- of what was requested of you by the College Wide
- 15 Committee?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. There is no reference to student
- 18 complaints in what they asked you to get
- information about, is there?
- 20 A. Present all evidence (indicating).
- 21 Q. Present all evidence. That doesn't just
- 22 relate to student complaints. It doesn't relate
- 23 to just one factor, it relates to all evidence,
- 24 correct, correct?
- 25 A. Please restate what you said.

- 1 Q. Yes. I asked you to point out where the
- 2 Committee directed to you get more information
- 3 about student complaints?
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. And I showed you the document where you
- 6 wrote down what the Committee requested from you?
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. And you have just reviewed that
- 9 document, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. I asked you where it says that you were
- 12 to provide more information about student
- 13 complaints, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And you pointed to a point in the memo
- where it says present all evidence, correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Wouldn't that relate to all evidence,
- not just information about student complaints?
- 20 A. The Committee requested more
- 21 information.
- 22 Q. And you interpreted that as more
- 23 information about student complaints?
- 24 A. And in particular the issue under
- 25 discussion is teaching. So I do take back

- student complaints. I do take that back. There
- 2 is a request for more information and more
- 3 information about the problems in teaching.
- 4 Q. But it doesn't just say in your notes
- 5 that it's relating to teaching, does it?
- 6 A. Not in my notes.
- 7 Q. In fact, it says that they wanted
- 8 expanded information about a variety of topics,
- 9 about most of the criteria, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.
- 11 Q. So for example, they wanted more
- information about Doctor Zhou's service?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. Such as the information that you hand
- wrote on the memo, but never provided to the
- 16 College Wide Committee, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. I would like to show you a document that
- 19 I would ask to be marked as Exhibit 53.
- 20 (Exhibit 53 marked for
- 21 identification.)
- 22 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 23 Q. Doctor, can you take a look at that for
- 24 me, please. Do you recognize this document?
 - 25 A. Not yet. So this is Maureen

)		LISA BERARDINO DI MA. ANDREMO
	1	Doctor Gaffney's you added you cut and pasted
	2	some information regarding publications?
	3	A. Yes, yes.
	4	Q. On the first page of this document it's
	5	just something that looks a little funny to me.
	6	So I am going to ask you about it. It says the
	7	School of Business Personnel Committee reviewed
	8	the application from, then it's redacted, for a
	9	two year reappointment and approved it by a vote
	10	of zero to eight?
	11	A. Okay.
	12	Q. They means they voted eight to zero in
	13	favor of Doctor Gaffney being reappointed, right?
	14	A. That is correct.
	15	Q. Is that usual to write the wining number
	16	on the right on these things?
	17	A. I don't know what is usual.
	18	Q. Is that something you think you could
	19	have done?
	20	A. I could have done that.
	21	MR. GOGLIA: We will stipulate to
	22	the fact that it looks odd.
	23	MR. ANDREWS: Just wondered if that
.,	24	refreshed her recollection if that is an
()	25	idiosyncrasy.

TTCA	BERARDINO	RY	MR	ANDREWS
1.154	RESERVING	D1	LIL	LIST TO THE

- you have seen this document before?
- 2 A. I don't recall it.
- 3 Q. If it was a part of Doctor Gaffney's
- 4 binder would you have seen it?
- 5 A. Probably.
- Q. Do you recognize what this document is?
- 7 A. I believe it's three -- I believe it's
- 8 three different individuals commenting.
- 9 Q. So these would be three students
- 10 commenting about the class that this form relates
- 11 to?
- 12 A. I can't tell if it's three different
- 13 students. It just says comments.
- Q. Have you seen this type of thing before?
- 15 A. I am going to say that yes, it's the end
- 16 comment from the on-line with the student
- 17 comments typed in. Yes, I have seen this, this
- 18 type.
- 19 Q. And usually there are multiple comments
- on a form like this; is that fair to say?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So, in fact, this may be three separate
- 23 comments?
- - 25 Q. If we assume for the moment that these

- 1 are three separate comments, looking at the first
- one starting even by the end of the course and
- 3 ending with the line possible technical problems
- 4 on their side. Do you see what I am referring
- 5 to?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. That was not a very good way to describe
- 8 it. If you look at the first three lines and
- 9 assume that that is a single comment.
- 10 A. I see it now.
- 11 Q. Would you characterize that as a
- 12 positive comment or a negative comment?
- 13 A. Negative.
- 14 Q. Looking at the last five lines on this
- sheet, would you describe that as predominantly
- 16 positive or predominantly negative?
- 17 A. Well, there is some positive and some --
- the last, I love his supplementary material,
- 19 would be positive. The rest of it looks
- 20 negative.
- 21 Q. So it's predominantly negative?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, these comments are not discussed in
- .24 ... Doctor Gaffney's summary that was prepared by the
- 25 Committee; is that correct?

LISA BERARDINO BY MR. ANDREWS

1.	A. That is correct.
2	Q. So there was no reference to there being
3	negative comments, do you recall offhand?
4	A. I don't recall. I don't recall.
5	Q. Well, I am going to represent to you
6	that they are not listed. Can you tell me why
7	those wouldn't have been listed?
8	A. The letter that the Committee produces
9	tries to capture the overall status of the
10	professor's teaching. And my recollection is
11	that the overall of Professor Gaffney was that
12	there were some weaknesses, but that she was
13	improving and making significant improvements an
14	that she had many favorable students. So my
15	recollection is that the whole picture of Mauree
16	Frances Gaffney was overall positive.
17	MR. GOGLIA: I think she meant
18	Maureen Smith-Gaffney.
19	A. Yes, Maureen Smith-Gaffney.
20	BY MR. ANDREWS:
21	Q. Well, the document will speak for
22	itself. Do you happen to recall whether you
23	reviewed Professor Gaffney's on-line ratings?
2.4	AI don't recall

25

MR. ANDREWS: In fact, in looking

		LISA BERARDINO BY MR. ANDREWS
1		through the disclosure, Doug, it seems
2		that on the Fall 2005 accounting 685-35
3		on-line ratings were not provided.
4		There were students comments disclosed,
5		but no on-line ratings. Can you look
6		for those?
7		MR. GOGLIA: Sure.
8		MR. ANDREWS: It's just the on-line
9		ratings relative to this class.
10		MR. GOGLIA: Again, I will
11		represent to you that we produced
12		Maureen Gaffney's complete employment
13		file is my recollection. So to the
14		extent documents are maintained, they
15		should have been there. Mr. Panebianco
16		and myself will take a look.
17		MR. ANDREWS: Maybe they are still
18		available somewhere.
19	BY MR. AND	REWS:
20	Q.	Did you ever observe Doctor Gaffney's
21	teaching	?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	How about Doctor Jarrel?
24		N⊙
25	Q.	Can you again take a look at what has

- been marked as Exhibit 53?
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Looking on the second page under
- 4 scholarly ability?
- 5 A. Publications?
- 6 Q. Actually at the top above where it says
- 7 publications. Do you see where I am referring?
- 8 A. Yes, okay.
- 9 Q. It describes different areas of research
- 10 that Doctor Gaffney has undertaken?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you think it's important to list that
- 13 kind of information?
- 14 A. It's not typical.
- 15 Q. It's not typical for candidates to list
- 16 that kind of information?
- 17 A. The typical is to just have the
- 18 publications.
- 19 Q. But when you prepared this document you
- 20 put that information in, correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 O. Looking at the final page it says
- 23 effectiveness in teaching. Do you see that at
- 24 ----the -top? --- --- ---
 - 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. It suggests that Doctor Gaffney received
- 2 good teaching evaluations based on the IDEA?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. For example a 3.7 for teaching
- 5 excellence?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And so someone receiving a 3.7 is a good
- 8 teaching evaluation?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 O. So if someone received higher than a 3.7
- 11 that also would be a good teaching evaluation,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you recall what the range of
- 15 Doctor Gaffney's IDEA evaluations was?
- 16 A. I do not recall the range.
- 17 Q. If I told you there was a 1.5 included
- would you say that was a good evaluation?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. That's a rather poor evaluation?
- 21 A. Poor.
- Q. How about 3.0, is that a good teaching
- 23 evaluation?
- 24 A. Let's see, that is the middle zone.
 - Q. Would you say that is average?

- 1 A. I would say average.
- 2 Q. How about 2.4?
- A. Okay. That is the low end of the scale.
- Q. So it's somewhere between average and
- 5 really bad?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can you recall why only the 3.7 was
- 8 listed with regard to Doctor Gaffney as opposed
- 9 to listing more of the evaluation marks?
- 10 A. My recollection is that there is time
- 11 constraints to get the memos revised, and perhaps
- under the time constraints that that is keeping
- 13 the total listing of the IDEA evaluations.
- 14 Q. I am sorry. Under the time constraints
- 15 what?
- 16 A. Recall that it's one week from the
- 17 revised memos to turning these memos around by
- 18 the Committee.
- 19 Q. So you chose to select the one best
- 20 rating that Doctor Gaffney had?
- 21 A. No. I am saying in an explanation as to
- 22 why there is not the full table, I would suggest
- 23 that the time of recreating a whole table.
- 24 Q. Do you recall relative as between
- 25 Doctor Gaffney and Doctor Zhou how the full

- 1 O. So Doctor Orillio said that he observed
- 2 Doctor Zhou's teaching?
- 3 A. Well, I guess I am going to take it
- back. I don't mean of the teaching. I just mean
- faculty and the coordinators, what they observe
- 6 about the teaching.
- 7 Q. But not that they actually observed his
- 8 teaching?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Other than you do you know of anyone --
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. -- who observed Doctor Zhou's teaching?
- 13 A. I do not know of anyone other than me.
- 14 Q. I want to talk a second about the first
- 15 College Wide Committee meeting.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. At that meeting there was not a
- 18 presentation of a letter by Doctor Langdon,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Then I am going to go to the second
- 22 meeting. There was a presentation of a letter by
- 23 Doctor Langdon, correct?
- ...24 ... A. That is not correct. ... May I. -- ...
 - Q. Sure. It was provided in advance?

()			LISA BERARDINO BY MR. ANDREWS
	1		just to be clear, I think we were
	2		talking about Maureen Smith-Gaffney,
	3		not
	4	А.	I am sorry.
	5	BY MR. AND	REWS:
	6	Q.	What I asked was was there any
	7	discussi	on of complaints by students about either
	8	of those	two professors, that's all I asked?
	9	Α.	No.
	10	Q.	I would like you to take a look at a
	11	document	previously marked Exhibit 17. Have you
	12	seen this	s document before?
	13	A.	Yes, I have.
	14	Q.	Is that your initial that appears LB?
	15	A.	Yes.
	16	Q.	And your handwriting?
	17	A.	Yes.
	18	Q.	Is this something that you forwarded to
	19	the Coll	ege Wide Academic Personnel Committee?
	20	A.	Yes.
	21	Q.	Can you tell me whose initials appear on
	22	this bes	ides yours?
	23	A.	EP would be Ed Petronio.
	. 24	, , , , Q., ,	Was there anyone on the Peer Review
()	25	Committe	e whose initials don't appear here?

1	A. Robert Yeh.			
2	Q. Do you have any knowledge of Doctor Yeh			
3	ever having initialed this document?			
4	A. I thought he had. I thought he had			
5	initialed it.			
6	Q. Well, but you don't see it here, right?			
7	A. I don't see it here.			
8	Q. Well, looking at the date November 15,			
9	2006, do you see that?			
10	A. I do see that.			
11	Q. Does that suggest to you whether this			
12	was the first or second memoranda submitted?			
13	A. Second.			
14	Q. So this was after they asked you for			
15	more information, which you came back and			
16	submitted to the College Wide academic Personnel			
17	Committee?			
18	A. Yes.			
19	Q. Did you draft this document?			
20	A. Yes, I did.			
21	Q. In looking at item A, master of subject			
22	matter, it says that Doctor Zhou holds his Ph.D.			

in finance, correct?

25 Q. Had he provided the institution that he

- 1 A. I do not know why. I don't know why. I
- 2 amend that.
- 3 Q. You know why?
- A. The focus was on the teaching. So the
- focus of this letter and my understanding of what
- 6 the Committee wanted was that they wanted to
- 7 review the teaching.
- 8 O. It's not really correct in terms of what
- 9 the Committee wanted, is it?
- 10 MR. GOGLIA: I am going to object
- 11 to that question. It's argumentative.
- 12 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 13 Q. Okay, then I will show the witness
- 14 Exhibit 49. This is a document you testified to
- 15 previously today, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And you testified that in the lower left
- 18 hand corner there was information that was
- general as to all three applicants as to what the
- 20 Committee wanted, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And what does it say next to number
- 23 five?
- 24 A. Expanded.
- 25 Q. Thank you. Focusing on the College Wide

- 1 Committee, the second College Wide Committee
- 2 meeting, you attended that meeting, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 O. And a vote was taken at that meeting on
- 5 Doctor Zhou's application; is that correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. How did you vote?
- 8 A. I voted to support the School of
- 9 Business decision not to renew Jason Zhou.
- 10 Q. We got that in earlier, didn't we?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Thank you. You were the one vote in
- 13 that direction, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Did the Committee have any discussion
- 16 regarding Doctor Zhou's application prior to the
- 17 vote?
- 18 A. There was a little bit of discussion,
- 19 yes.
- 20 Q. Did you participate in that discussion?
- 21 A. They asked me about my observation of
- 22 his teaching and I reported it as average.
- 23 Q. Was there anything more to your report
- than just saying it was average?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Wasn't the purpose that you -- wasn't
- 2 the reason that you voted against Doctor Zhou his
- 3 teaching ability?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was there any other criteria in which
- 6 you felt he was lacking?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 O. Yet your own observation of his teaching
- 9 was that it was average; is that correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. In your belief someone who meets all of
- the criteria, all of the other criteria and has
- average teaching is not fit to be reappointed, is
- 14 that your personal view?
- 15 A. Can you say the question again?
- 16 Q. Sure. You felt that he satisfied the
- other criteria other than teaching?
- 18 A. Correct, yes.
- 19 Q. And your own observation of his teaching
- 20 was that it was average?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You didn't feel that he had any glaring
- 23 deficiency when you observed his teaching?
- A. From my observation?
- 25 Q. From your observation?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you thought he was understandable?
- 3 A. I am not going to say -- no.
- 4 O. Sufficient to be average in his
- 5 teaching? You have said that you found his
- 6 teaching to be average based on your observation,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you have said that you reported to
- 10 the College Wide Committee that your observation
- of Doctor Zhou's teaching resulted in the
- conclusion that it was average, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So he did not have a language problem
- that made his teaching below average; isn't that
- 16 also correct?
- 17 A. I feel like you are putting words in my
- 18 mouth here.
- 19 Q. I am, but you get to disagree with me if
- 20 you want?
- 21 A. I am disagreeing with you.
- 22 Q. Explain the source of your disagreement?
- 23 A. What I am hearing is enough language to
- 24 understand most of what he is saying.
- 25 Q. Enough so that you can consider his

- 1 teaching based on your observation to be average?
- 2 A. The understanding is enough to be
- 3 average.
- 4 Q. Do you recall anything else about the
- 5 discussion of Doctor Zhou's application during
- 6 the second College Wide Committee meeting?
- 7 A. Let's see, I recall discussion and I
- 8 recall the Committee trying to understand, the
- 9 Committee was making a good faith effort to try
- 10 to understand the issues. That is what I recall.
- 11 Q. So you don't recall anything more
- 12 specific than that?
- 13 A. I just remember people asking questions
- 14 and wanting to understand. That's what I
- 15 remember.
- 16 Q. In making a good faith effort to come up
- 17 with a determination?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. Are you familiar with the fact that
- 20 Doctor Zhou had research funding while he was a
- 21 professor at SUNY IT?
- 22 A. No, I do not know that.
- 23 Q. You don't recall that being a part of
- 24 his binder?
 - 25 A. I don't remember it, no.

LISA BERARDINO BY MR. ANDREWS

BY MR. ANDREWS: I am not asking you anything you heard 2 Q. 3 from counsel. Α. Then no. That is just as a general matter. Q. 5 No. 6 Α. MR. GOGLIA: Thank you. I 7 appreciate that. 8 MR. ANDREWS: Sure. 9 BY MR. ANDREWS: 10 Did you know that Doctor Zhou had 11 complained about Doctor Langdon to SUNY IT 12 officials? 13 Robert Yeh told me that there had been Α. 14 some complaint. So Robert Yeh said that there 15 had been some complaint. 16 When did Doctor Yeh tell you that? 17 0. Before we reviewed the binder. Α. 18 So was Doctor Petronio also present for 19 that conversation? 20 Α. No. 21 Do you recall where that took place, Q. 22 that conversation? 23

My office.

So the two of you were there?

Α.

Q.

24

25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you recall why the two of you
- 3 happened to be meeting in your office?
- A. We were meeting to review the three
- 5 candidates.
- 6 Q. So it was immediately before you
- 7 reviewed the binders; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. So in the same meeting where you
- 10 reviewed the binders?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What precisely did Doctor Yeh say?
- 13 A. He said that there had been some episode
- or something happened and that Jason Zhou had
- gone to HR to complain about Will Langdon.
- 16 Q. What else did he say about that?
- 17 A. That's it. That's it.
- 18 Q. Did Doctor Yeh tell you why he was
- 19 telling you that?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did you have an understanding as to why
- 22 he was telling you that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you think that it should at all
- 25 impact your consideration of Doctor Zhou's case?

- 1 Q. You are saying the point is to get
- 2 students engaged, correct?
- 3 A. Yes. Not to lighten the load.
- 4 Q. To use multimedia to get them to pay
- 5 attention, respond?
- 6 A. Discuss, yes.
- 7 Q. Now, you testified earlier that you were
- 8 present when Doctor Zhou did his presentation
- 9 when he was being interviewed. Do you recall
- 10 that?
- 11 A. I do recall.
- 12 Q. Do you recall that he showed a video
- 13 during that?
- 14 A. Very vague, very vague.
- 15 O. Do you recall anything about the video
- 16 at all?
- 17 A. It had tennis balls.
- 18 Q. Juggling maybe?
- 19 A. Something with balls (indicating).
- Q. Making a juggling motion with two hands?
- 21 A. Okay. Something about balls moving.
- Q. After seeing the video you were not so
- offended that he should not be hired; is that a
- 24 fair statement?
- 25 A. That is a fair statement.

TTCD	BERARDINO	RY MR	ANDREWS
11134		DI PIC.	

()			LISA BERARDINO BY MR. ANDREWS	
	1	Q.	I think you testified earlier that you	
	2	were tol	d that there were complaints by students	
	3	about Do	ctor Zhou; is that correct?	
	4	A.	That is correct.	
	5	Q.	Who was it can you tell me who told	
	6	you about such complaints?		
	7	Α.	Before the	
	8	Q.	Let's start before the Peer Review	
	9	Committe	e meeting?	
	10	A.	Steve Havlovic told me about the	
	11	complain	ts.	
	12	Q.	That was primarily what he told you	
	13	about Do	ctor Zhou when you spoke before the Peer	
	14	Review C	ommittee?	
	15	Α.	My recollection is we reviewed that the	
	16	research	was good.	
	17	Q.	So Doctor Havlovic said the research was	
	18	good?		
	19	Α.	The research was in place.	
	20	Q.	What did he say about his service?	
	21	A.	I don't recall discussion of the	
	22	service.		
	23	Q.	Do you recall anything other than the	
	24	discussi	on of the research and the student	
()	25	complain	ts?	

1	Α.	Thatie	what	Т	recall.
_	<i>-</i> 73.•	Tilat 3	wilde	-	

- 2 O. Prior to the Peer Review Committee
- 3 meeting had you heard from anyone else that there
- 4 was complaints about Doctor Zhou's teaching?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 O. Subsequent to the Peer Review
- 7 Committee's first meeting, but prior to the first
- 8 College Wide Committee meeting, did you speak to
- 9 anyone about complaints about Doctor Zhou by
- 10 students?
- 11 A. I didn't speak to anyone about the
- 12 complaints. In the school tenured faculty
- 13 meeting there was much discussion from many
- 14 people including all three coordinators that were
- present about problems with Zhou's teaching and
- 16 there was discussion of student complaints at
- 17 that faculty meeting.
- 18 Q. So the three coordinators were
- 19 Doctor Langdon, Doctor Orillio?
- 20 A. And Doctor Gary Scherzer.
- 21 Q. Scherzer, can you spell it?
- 22 A. S-C-H-E-R-Z-E-R.
- 23 Q. Again, what did Doctor Orillio say about
- 24 complaints about Doctor Zhou's teaching?
- 25 A. He said that Zhou was aware of the

- 1 higher number of foreign born MBA students in MBA
- programs in the United States?
- 3 A. I don't have that data.
- 4 Q. Have you ever heard that suggested
- 5 before?
- 6 A. You are saying many, are you giving a
- 7 percentage?
- 8 Q. Do you have any idea what the proportion
- 9 is?
- 10 A. I don't.
- 11 Q. Do you have any idea what the proportion
- is here at SUNY IT?
- 13 A. We have about 200 MBA students and maybe
- twenty foreign born. I would go ten percent.
- 15 Q. Okay. Do you know who Professor Hoseoup
- 16 Lee is?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You are familiar with Professor Lee?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And he teaches here at SUNY IT?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And his native tongue is Chinese?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. Does he speak with a --
- 25 A. There is an accent.

1	Q.	A fairly thick accent?
2	Α.	There is an accent.

- 3 Q. How would you say it compares to
- 4 Doctor Zhou's accent?
- 5 A. About the same.
- 6 Q. You are familiar with the AACSB, I think
- 7 you mentioned it earlier?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Can you tell me what it stands for? I
- 10 don't mean to quiz you.
- 11 A. American Association of -- Business
- 12 School -- College Business, AACSB. Collegiate
- 13 School Of Business.
- MR. GOGLIA: Do you want a
- definition?
- MR. ANDREWS: That's okay. If you
- 17 know off hand. Do you want to make a
- 18 representation to what it stands for
- 19 Mr. Goglia?
- MR. GOGLIA: I will do my best.
- It's stands for the Association to
- 22 Advance Collegiate Schools of Business.
- MR. ANDREWS: I think you did an
- 24 excellent job.
- MR. GOGLIA: Thank you.

- 1 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. I would like to direct your attention to
- 4 the third paragraph?
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. The first sentence says materials
- 7 available in the Human Resources office clearly
- 8 indicate that Doctor Zhou's university service is
- 9 more extensive than indicated in the Peer
- 10 Committee recommendation?
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Do you agree with that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. It next say his publications are more
- impression than one might be led to believe from
- 16 the section of scholarly ability. Would you
- 17 agree with that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It makes a reference to grant funding.
- 20 Can you tell me why you didn't put more
- 21 information in the memorandum to the College Wide
- 22 Committee than you did relative to Doctor Zhou's
- 23 application?
- 24 A. My focus as I drafted it was on the
- 25 teaching.